NDHSAA OFFICIAL MUSIC ADJUDICATION FORM Judge: INSTRUMENTAL SOLO - CLASS B Room:

Time	Event	RATING: Adjudicator, check one rating only!	
111110	Little	STAR = Superior Performance	
Name:		I = Excellent Performance	
School:		II = Good Performance	
Selection		III = Fair Performance	
Composer			

Approved June 2017

Signature of Adjudicator

NOTE: Star recipients in Solo and Ensemble categories are eligible for the State Music Festival.

	4	3	2	1
TONE	Open, focused, full resonant tone Consistent tone quality in all ranges and registers Artistic use of vibrato (if applicable)	Characteristic tone most of the time Tone quality is affected by range and volume changes Vibrato developing nicely	Tone color and quality is inconsistent between sections Tone often fuzzy or pinched Inconsistent focus and tone quality in various ranges and volume levels	Basic tonal concepts not present - Consistently thin, unfocused, forced sound Changing volume and registers creates tone quality problems
INTONATION	All ranges and registers in tune Excellent control and listening skills Pitch adjustments made instantly	Demonstrates minimal intonation difficulties Listening skills developing Pitch adjustments usually made quickly	Mostly accurate, but includes some uncorrected pitches Listening skills are inconsistent Pitch adjustments inconsistent	Significant intonation difficulties throughout selection
RHYTHM	Excellent precision at all times Nearly all note values and rhythms are performed accurately	Errors infrequent & corrected quickly Most note values & rhythms correct Some problems in technical passages	Inconsistent performance of note values and rhythmic patterns	Numerous inaccurate note values and rhythmic passages
TECHNIQUE	Stylistically accurate, musical and sensitive performance Expression is natural and highly effective Excellent use of dynamics Phrasing is musical and expressive Technical facility is excellent Articulations are accurate Flexibility and dexterity exhibited at all times	Some passages lack musical effect Style and tempo appropriate most of the time Very good use of dynamics Most phrasing is natural and uniform Weaknesses shown in some technical sections Very good understanding of articulations Flexibility and dexterity are quite good	Style becomes rigid and mechanical at times Style and tempo are not accurate Dynamics are inconsistent Lacks musical phrasing Technical facility lacks consistency causing errors Articulations are inconsistent Complex passages lack clarity	Mechanical and lacking musicality Style underdeveloped Style and tempos are not maintained Little attention to dynamics & phrasing Complex passages are not accurate Articulations correct only some of the time Flexibility and dexterity lacking
OTHER FACTORS	Outstanding literature for age and training Professional approach Appropriate attire Score provided with numbered measures for the adjudicator	Above average literature for age and training Inconsistencies in attire and/or formal approach Adjudicator score not properly prepared	Average literature for age and training Inappropriate attire and/or approach lacks polish and professionalism Common etiquette often overlooked	Below average or unacceptable literature Soloist does not demonstrate appropriate approach to formal performance setting